STAT347: Generalized Linear Models Lecture 10

Today's topics: Chapters 7.3-7.5

- Negative Binomial GLM
- Zero inflated models: ZIP, ZINB and hurdle models
- Revisit the example of the horseshoe crab dataset

1 Model for over-dispersed counts: Negative Binomial GLM

Think about the scenario $y_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$ but $\log(\lambda_i) = X_i^T \beta + \epsilon_i$ indicating that X_i can not fully explain λ_i . Then

$$E(y_i) = E[E(y_i \mid \lambda_i)] = E(\lambda_i)$$

while

$$\operatorname{Var}(y_i) = E[\operatorname{Var}(y_i \mid \lambda_i)] + \operatorname{Var}[E(y_i \mid \lambda_i)] = E(\lambda_i) + \operatorname{Var}(\lambda_i) > E(y_i)$$

which show an over-dispersion of the distribution of y_i compared with a Poisson distribution. Negative binomial distribution: $y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ and $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(\mu)$. The probability function of y is

$$f(y;\mu,k) = \frac{\Gamma(y+k)}{\Gamma(k)\Gamma(y+1)} \left(\frac{\mu}{\mu+k}\right)^y \left(\frac{k}{\mu+k}\right)^k$$

where $\gamma = 1/k$ is called a dispersion parameter. We have

$$E(y) = \mu$$
, $Var(y) = \mu + \gamma \mu^2$

Negative Binomial GLM:

We assume $y_i \sim \text{NB}(\mu_i, k_i)$, with the link function $g(\mu_i) = X_i^T \beta$. Typically, we assume they share the same dispersion, so $\gamma_i = 1/k_i \equiv \gamma$ for all *i*.

The benefit of using a Negative Binomial distribution is that it has an extra parameter to model the variance of y_i .

As an extension of Poisson GLM, a common link function is the log link: $g(\mu_i) = \log(\mu_i)$.

We can write down the log-likelihood, get score equations, and approximate variance of $\hat{\beta}$ also for Negative Binomial GLM. The Negative Binomial distribution belongs to the exponential dispersion family. We omit all the details here. If you are interested to know more, you can read Chapter 7.3.

2 Models for zero-inflated counts

For a Poisson distribution $y \sim \text{Poisson}(\mu)$: $P(y=0) = e^{-\mu}$

For a Negative Binomial distribution $y \sim \text{NB}(\mu, k)$: $P(y = 0) = \left(\frac{k}{\mu + k}\right)^k$

In practice, there may be way more 0 counts than what these distributions can allow. Example: y_i is the number of times going to a gym for the past week and there may be a substantial proportion who never exercise (you may see two modes in the distribution).

2.1 Zero-inflated Poisson / Negative Binomial (ZIP/ZINB) models

The ZIP model:

$$y_i \sim \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \phi_i \\ \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i) & \text{with probability } \phi_i \end{cases}$$

We can interpret this as having a latent binary variable Z_i Bernoulli (ϕ_i) . If $z_i = 0$ then $y_i = 0$, and if $z_i = 1$ then y_i follows a Poisson distribution. For the GLM model, a common assumption for the links are:

$$\operatorname{logit}(\phi_i) = X_{1i}^T \beta_1, \quad \operatorname{log}(\lambda_i) = X_{2i}^T \beta_2$$

• The mean is $E(y_i) = \phi_i \lambda_i$ and the variance is

$$\operatorname{Var}(y_i) = \phi_i \lambda_i [1 + (1 - \phi_i) \lambda_i] > E(y_i)$$

So zero-inflation can also cause over-dispersion

• We may still see over-dispersion conditional on Z_i , then we can use a ZINB model where

$$y_i \sim \begin{cases} 0 & \text{with probability } 1 - \phi_i \\ \text{NB}(\lambda_i, k) & \text{with probability } \phi_i \end{cases}$$

• We can use MLE to solve both the ZIP and ZINB model.

2.2 Hurdle model

The ZIP/ZINB model do not allow zero deflation. The Hurdle model separates the analysis of zero counts and positive counts.

Let

$$y_i' = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_i = 0\\ 1 & \text{if } y_i > 0 \end{cases}$$

The Hurdle model assumes that $y'_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\phi_i)$ and $y_i \mid y_i > 0$ follows a truncated-at-zero Poisson/Negative Binomial distribution. Basically, we assume there is another $y''_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$ and $y_i = y''_i$ when $y_i > 0$. Let the untruncated probability function be $f(y_i; \lambda_i)$, then

$$P(y_i = k) = \phi_i \frac{f(k; \mu_i)}{1 - f(k; \mu_i)}$$

For the GLM, we may assume

$$\operatorname{logit} \phi_i = X_{1i}^T \beta_i, \quad \operatorname{log}(\lambda_i) = X_{2i}^T \beta_2$$

- We can estimate β_i and β_2 separately using two separate likelihoods.
- There is zero deflation if $\phi_i \leq f(0; \mu_i)$

3 Examples for the contingency table, over-dispersed and zeroinflated data

Chapters 7.2.6, 7.5.2